



**INSTITUTO FEDERAL**

Rio Grande do Norte  
Campus Currais Novos  
Diretoria Acadêmica

Aluno(a): \_\_\_\_\_

Turma: Tecnologia em Sistemas para Internet

Data: \_\_\_/04/2018

Professora: Cristiane de Brito Cruz

News InFact Politics Voices Indy/Life Sport Business Video Culture IndyBest [Subscribe](#)

**InFact.**  
News › World › Americas

## Facebook hearings: Fact-checking some of Mark Zuckerberg's most strange claims to US politicians

Andrew Griffin | @\_andrew\_griffin | a day ago | [1 comment](#)

- 1 'Facebook only tracks people around the internet for security purposes'
- 2 'Users can just switch off advertising data'
- 3 'People can take all the data Facebook has and use it elsewhere'

**89** shares Click to follow The Independent US

Mark Zuckerberg made his way through a huge grilling from US politicians mostly unscathed. But attention is now turning to some of the more chilling and confusing claims he made during the questioning.

Much of the time was taken up with the Facebook boss apologising and promising to do better. But Mr Zuckerberg also suggested that Facebook is not tracking people around the internet, and that if they wanted to they could simply turn off the collection of data for advertising purposes.

Neither of those things is strictly true, and Facebook itself admits as much on its website. It is not clear if Mr Zuckerberg was unaware of the full scale of his own site's tracking of its users.

Perhaps most chilling, and a little confusing, was a point that Mr Zuckerberg was asked to return to on the tracking of people's browsing history. He claimed that was done – but later, after talking with his team, admitted that it does actually track people's browsing history for ad purposes.

Still, he said that data is only taken temporarily and used to work out people's interests, when it is deleted. That is why

browsing data will not show up when people request all the data has on them, he claimed.

### 'Facebook only tracks people around the internet for security purposes'

ZUCKERBERG: "There may be specific things about how you use Facebook, even if you're not logged in, that we — that we keep track of, to make sure that people aren't abusing the systems."

At a different point in the hearing, he said: "In general, we collect data of people who have not signed up for Facebook for security purposes."

THE FACTS: Facebook collects data on your online habits wherever it can find you, and very little of it appears to be for security purposes.

Facebook pays third-party websites and apps to let it place tracking code across the internet and mobile devices. That code can be embedded in browser files called "cookies," invisible screen pixels, or Facebook's familiar "like" and "share" buttons.

That code then reports back to Facebook on your surfing habits to help it better target ads. Along with Google, Facebook is consistently among the top three data-collectors in the field, said Reuben Binns, an Oxford University computer scientist who researches these beacons.

In February, a Belgian court ruled that Facebook had violated European privacy law with such tracking because it hadn't obtained consent either to collect or store the data.

### 'Users can just switch off advertising data'

ZUCKERBERG: "There is a setting so if you don't want any data to be collected around advertising, you can turn that off and then we won't do it."

THE FACTS: There is no such single setting on Facebook.

You can limit ad targeting, but it requires several steps, which you may have to repeat from time to time. By default, Facebook shows you ads based on interests you've expressed over the years and the companies you have "interacted" with — for instance, by sharing your email or phone number, visiting their website or using their app.

Turning off those categories is a chore, as you have to select them one by one in settings. And if you like a new page, click on a new ad or add your email to a new business's contact list, the whole thing starts over.

### 'People can take all the data Facebook has and use it elsewhere'

ZUCKERBERG: "People have the ability to see everything they have in Facebook, take that out, delete that account and move their data anywhere that they want."

THE FACTS: That's only partly true.

You can indeed download a subset of the information it has collected on you. But the resulting file mostly contains a jumble of contacts, messages and advertisers who have been allowed to target you through Facebook.

That makes the information mostly useless if you hoped to use it to join a different social network, because it's incomplete and not organized in a way that another service could easily import.

Experts say Facebook has made it technically untenable to take your data elsewhere. University researchers have tried to figure out how to make that data portable, but failed because Facebook keeps changing the public-facing software required.

There are other issues that make true data portability vexing. Zuckerberg alluded to one on Wednesday: Who owns material shared across a social network to multiple users? "Let's say I take a photo and I share it with you. Now is that my photo or is it your photo?" he said.

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/facebook-zuckerberg-hearing-fact-data-browsing-tracking-security-privacy-latest-a8301001.html>

## QUESTIONS

- 1) Qual é o assunto do texto?
  - a) A entrevista de Mark Zuckerberg a uma TV Americana e o escândalo nas contas do facebook.
  - b) Fala detalhes do depoimento do fundador do facebook ao Congresso dos Estados Unidos.
  - c) Fala sobre os problemas de privacidade do facebook e como conseguir se prevenir.
  - d) O texto é sobre a história de vida do fundador do facebook, Mark Zuckerberg.
  
- 2) A frase que fala que não se tem certeza que Zuckerberg não sabia que o seu aplicativo estava rastreando os usuários está na letra:
  - a) But Mr Zuckerberg also suggested that Facebook is not tracking people around the internet.
  - b) Mark Zuckerberg made his way through a huge grilling from US politicians mostly unscathed.
  - c) Mr Zuckerberg was asked to return to on the tracking of people's browsing history.
  - d) It is not clear if Mr Zuckerberg was unaware of the full scale of his own site's tracking of its users.
  
- 3) Observe as palavras em destaque no trecho abaixo:

"You can limit **ad** targeting, but it requires several steps, which you may have to repeat from time to time. By default, Facebook shows you **ads** based on interests you've expressed over the years and the companies you have "interacted" with — for instance, by sharing your email or **phone** number, visiting their **website** or using their **app**".

I – as palavras **ad** e **ads** foram formadas pelo processo de **backformation**, que é o encurtamento da palavra **advertising**.

II – a palavra **phone** e **app** são palavras formadas pelo processo de **clipping**, que é o encurtamento das palavras **telephone** e **application**.

III – a palavra **website** foi formada a partir da junção das palavras **web** e **site**, como não houve perda de letras temos o processo de **blending**.

Está(ão) correta(s):

- a) Apenas a I.
- b) Apenas a II.
- c) Apenas a III.
- d) Apenas a I e a III.

4) Observe o trecho abaixo:

There are other issues **that** make true data portability vexing. Zuckerberg alluded to one on Wednesday: **Who** owns material shared across a social network to multiple users? "Let's say **I** take a photo and **I** share **it** with **you**. Now is **that** **my** photo or is **it** **your** photo?" **he** said.

Indique os referentes das palavras em destaque:

- a) 1° that \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Who \_\_\_\_\_
- c) I (nas 2 vezes) \_\_\_\_\_
- d) 1° it \_\_\_\_\_
- e) You \_\_\_\_\_
- f) 2° that \_\_\_\_\_
- g) My \_\_\_\_\_
- h) 2° it \_\_\_\_\_
- i) Your \_\_\_\_\_
- j) He \_\_\_\_\_

5) Indique o processo de formação de palavras abaixo:

<i>Coinage</i>	<i>Eponym</i>	<i>Suffixation</i>	<i>Conversion</i>
<i>Compounding</i>	<i>Acronym</i>	<i>Prefixation</i>	<i>Backformation</i>
<i>Clipping</i>	<i>Borrowing</i>	<i>Blending</i>	

- |                |                    |
|----------------|--------------------|
| a) Politicians | f) Data-collectors |
| b) Unscathed   | g) Oxford          |
| c) Unaware     | h) Untenable       |
| d) Face        | i) Portable        |
| e) Google      | j) Public-Facing   |





**INSTITUTO FEDERAL**

Rio Grande do Norte

Campus Currais Novos

Diretoria Acadêmica

Aluno(a): \_\_\_\_\_

Turma: Tecnologia em Sistemas para Internet

Data: \_\_\_/04/2018

Professora: Cristiane de Brito Cruz

Leia o texto a seguir e responda:

## **TED 2018: The smart home that spied on its owner**

By Jane WakefieldTechnology reporter

**For two months in early 2018, technology journalist Kashmir Hill let innocent household items spy on her.**

She had turned her one-bedroom apartment into a "smart home" and was measuring how much data was being collected by the firms that made the devices.

Her smart toothbrush betrayed when she had not brushed her teeth, her television revealed when she had spent the day bingeing on programmes, and her smart speaker spoke to the world's largest online retailer every day.

It was like living in a "commercial, surveillance state" with "not a single hour of digital silence", she said.

Ms Hill, who reports for the technology news website Gizmodo, gave a TED talk describing her experience.

Her colleague Surya Mattu had built a special wi-fi router to monitor the devices listening to her life. They found that she was giving away a lot of information.

"The Amazon Echo [a smart speaker] talked to Amazon servers every three minutes and the TV was sending information about every show we watched on Hulu, which was in turn shared with data brokers."

But perhaps more worrying than the data she could track, was the vast amount that she could not.

"With the other data I don't know ultimately where it was shared," she said.

The lack of transparency about what happens to the huge amount of consumer data that is sucked out of smart devices and social networks every day has been in sharp focus in the last few weeks.

Facebook remains under intense scrutiny after it was revealed that up to 87 million Facebook users may have had their profile information accessed by marketing firm Cambridge Analytica without their knowledge.

But while some consumers are prepared to part with their data for the convenience of access to free services such as Facebook and Google, Ms Hill did not feel this was true of her smart experiment.

"My smart home was not convenient. Things didn't work, the smart coffee was horrible, Alexa didn't understand us and my take-away was that the privacy trade-off was not worth it."

Facebook may currently be in the spotlight, but it is by no means the first to be caught out over the mishandling of user data.

In 2017, smart TV manufacturer Vizio agreed to pay \$2.2m to settle a lawsuit brought by the US Federal Trade Commission over charges that the company installed software on 11 million of its smart TVs to collect viewing data, without informing customers or seeking their consent.

In addition, it also gathered each household's IP address, nearby wi-fi access points and postcode, and shared that information with other companies to target advertisements at Vizio TV owners.

And in August 2016, in a particularly intimate example of data misuse, hackers at the Def Con security conference revealed that Standard Innovation's We-Vibe smart vibrators transmitted user data - including heat level and vibration intensity - to the company in real time.

"It is interesting that the issue has coalesced around Facebook but it is a much wider issue," said Ms Hill.

"We use platforms on our smartphones and social networks that introduce us to third-party apps and we haven't yet come to terms with what this means, and how much responsibility the companies have to vet these apps and keep us and our data safe."

That is all about to change in Europe with the introduction of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which promises consumers far greater control over their data.

Currently the situation in the US is very different. Citizens do not have the right to access the information that companies have stored on them.

However, California, which is home to most of the biggest tech giants, is currently considering a law that would give users access to their data and let them ask firms not to sell it.

For Ms Hill, the changes in Europe cannot come soon enough.

"I absolutely hope that GDPR has a trickle-down effect on the US," she said.

Meanwhile, she is not willing to totally abandon her smart home experiment.

"We will keep the Echo and the smart TV. I don't love all this stuff but it is going to stay in our home.

"What I hope is that we can make better products in future - devices with privacy protections built-in."

